

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,492	09/10/2003	Roddy McKee Bullock	00725.0370-US-D2 3449	
75	590 02/15/2006		EXAM	INER
Altera Law G	roup	WOLLSCHLAGER, JEFFREY MICHAEL		
Suite 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6500 City West Parkway				THERNOMBER
Minnesota, MN 55344-7700			1732	
				_

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summans	10/659,492	BULLOCK, RODDY MCKEE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff Wollschlager	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/s	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/2003.	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed September 10, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harby (U.S. Patent 2,052,623; issued September 1, 1936). Harby teaches a method of embossing a paper file folder (page 1, col. 2 line 11) between two contacting surfaces by placing the

Art Unit: 1732

file folder to be embossed between embossing rollers 18 and 19 (see Fig. 5) and applying pressure to the surfaces which in turn pushes the surfaces towards each other, thus, deforming the folder paper stock (page 1, col. 2, lines 30-48 and Fig. 4). The method of Harby creates a slip- resistant file folder meeting the limitations of claim 4. Although the purpose of Harby's method is to create a reinforced file folder and does not specifically disclose an intention to create a slip-resistant file folder, the rejection is proper. "Arguments that the alleged anticipatory prior art is nonanalogous art or teaches away from the invention or is not recognized as solving the problem solved by the claimed invention, [are] not germane to a rejection under section 102." Twin Disc, Inc. v.United States, 231 USPQ 417, 424 (Cl. Ct. 1986) (quoting In re Self, 671 F.2d 1344, 213 USPQ 1, 7 (CCPA 1982)).

### Conclusion

All claims are rejected.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S Patent 6,938,549: Bullock teaches the method for making slip resistant file folders.
  - U.S. Patent 6,273,470: Bullock teaches slip resistant file folders.
- U.S. Patent 2,062,436: Yawman teaches a file folder with a raised surface to help prevent dropping the folder.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

U.S. Patent 5,769,311: Morita et al. teach an embossed paper cup to help prevent dropping the cup.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Wollschlager whose telephone number is 571-272-8937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\tau\omega$ 

Jeff Wollschlager Examiner Art Unit 1732

January 31, 2006

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER